

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/734,176	12/12/2000	Takumi Mikawa	0819-466 5101		
7590 03/29/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Eric J. Robinson			LOKE, STEVEN HO YIN		
Nixon Peabody	LLP			· · <u></u>	
Suite 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
8180 Greensboro Drive			2811		
McLean, VA 22102			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/734,176	MIKAWA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Steven Loke	2811			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2003.					
•—	•	action is non-final.				
3)□						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1,3-5,10 and 13-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-5 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,10 and 13-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/734,176 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

2. Claims 1, 10, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Takenaka (U.S. Patent No. 5,475,248).

In regards to claim 1, Takenaka shows all the elements of the claimed invention in fig. 7. It is a semiconductor device, comprising: a lower electrode [28] formed on a substrate [21]; a capacitive insulating film [29] formed out of a ferroelectric film on the lower electrode; an upper electrode [32] formed on the capacitive insulating film; a contact layer [61] formed directly on the upper electrode [32] so as not to contact with the capacitive insulating film [29]; and an insulating film [63] formed to cover the lower electrode, the capacitive insulating film, the upper electrode and the contact layer, wherein the contact layer [61] is a single layer film, the single layer film being made of a metal nitride (TiN).

In regards to claim 10, Takenaka further discloses the upper electrode [32] contains Pt, and wherein the metal nitride film is made of a nitride of Ti.

In regards to claim 14, Takenaka inherently discloses the insulating film [63] is unlikely to peel off due to the contact layer because the contact layer [61] is made of an adhesive material (TiN).

Application/Control Number: 09/734,176 Page 3

Art Unit: 2811

In regards to claim 15, Takenaka inherently discloses the contact layer [61] is made from metal atoms which are unlikely to diffuse into the upper electrode [32] because the TiN contact layer [61] is formed by a sputtering method.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takenaka in view of Kanaya et al.

In regards to claim 13, Takenaka differs from the claimed invention by not showing the ferroelectric film includes SrBi₂Ta₂O₉.

Kanaya et al. show the ferroelectric film [412A] of a capacitor (fig. 48) includes SrBi₂Ta₂O₉ (col. 22, lines 1-6).

Since both Takenaka and Kanaya et al. teach a ferroelectric film capacitor, it would have been obvious to have the SrBi₂Ta₂O₉ ferroelectric film of Kanaya et al. in Takenaka because it improves the capacitance of the capacitor.

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2811

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Loke whose telephone number is (571) 272-1657. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:50 am to 5:20 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

sl

March 22, 2004

Princip Licining